#### Tetrahedron Letters 51 (2010) 6143-6145

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

**Tetrahedron Letters** 

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

# Cleavage of benzyl ethers by triphenylphosphine hydrobromide

## Mani Ramanathan, Duen-Ren Hou\*

Department of Chemistry, National Central University, 300 Jhong-Da Rd. Jhong-Li, Taoyuan, Taiwan

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 9 August 2010 Revised 13 September 2010 Accepted 17 September 2010 Available online 22 September 2010

#### ABSTRACT

Triphenylphosphine hydrobromide was found to cleave the benzyl ethers derived from  $1^{\circ}$ ,  $2^{\circ}$  alkyl, and aryl alcohols to the corresponding alcohols and benzyltriphenylphosphonium bromide in good yields. Alkene and allyl phosphonium salts were produced from the benzyl ethers with  $3^{\circ}$  alkyl and allyl groups, respectively. These results indicate that the formation of the product is determined by the relative stability of the carbocationic intermediate. The anhydrous, stoichiometric amount of PPh<sub>3</sub>·HBr offers a new and effective method for the deprotection of benzyl ethers.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Protecting hydroxyl groups as benzyl ethers (Bn-OR) have been widely applied in multi-step organic synthesis. Benzyl ether is robust, relatively low cost in preparation, and orthogonal to other protective groups, making benzyl ether and its derivatives, such as p-methoxybenzyl ether (PMB-OR), among the most used protective groups.<sup>1</sup> Conventionally, the removal of the benzyl group is achieved by hydrogenolysis<sup>2</sup> (H<sub>2</sub>, Pd/C, or Raney Nickel), reductive or oxidative cleavage (Na/ammonia and 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone, etc);<sup>3-5</sup> however, these methods might be incompatible with unsaturated substrates and reduction/oxidation sensitive functional groups. Brønsted acid and Lewis acid based cleavage provides alternative deprotection methods when such concerns are involved. For example, trifluoromethanesulfonic acid. trifluoroacetic acid, iodotrimethylsilane, and boron trichloride have been developed along this direction.<sup>6,7</sup> Recently, debenzylation with the combination of chlorosulfonyl isocyanate-sodium hydroxide was reported.<sup>8</sup> Although ethers are known to hydrolyze in hydrobromic acid or anhydrous hydrogen bromide,<sup>9</sup> only a few examples using HBr to cleave benzyl ethers have been reported,<sup>10,11</sup> probably due to the strongly acidic or aqueous reaction conditions being incompatible with other functional groups. Herein, we would like to report the deprotection of benzyl ethers using triphenylphosphine hydrobromide (PPh<sub>3</sub>·HBr). In contrast to the volatile and flammable boron/silicon based Lewis acids, or inconvenient HBr, the salt PPh<sub>3</sub>·HBr is a stable, white powder (mp 196 °C),<sup>12</sup> which makes it easy to apply with a correct quantity. Indeed, PPh<sub>3</sub>·HBr has been used as the source of anhydrous hydrogen bromide,<sup>13</sup> an alternative of sulfonic acids,<sup>14</sup> and the precursor of phosphorus ylides.<sup>15</sup> We found that PPh<sub>3</sub>·HBr could also be used in the deprotection of benzyl ethers (Eq. 1) and would like to report this finding herein.

$$R-O-Bn \xrightarrow{(1.1 \text{ equiv.})}_{CH_3CN, \Delta} R-OH + BnPPh_3 \cdot Br \xrightarrow{\oplus}_{G} \Theta$$

$$R = alkyl, aryl \quad (1) \qquad (1)$$

The reactions of various benzyl alkyl or aryl ethers with PPh<sub>3</sub>·HBr were carried out in refluxing acetonitrile (Table 1).<sup>16</sup> The insoluble by-product, benzyltriphenylphosphonium bromide, could be removed by simple filtration and the deprotected alcohols were harvested after the removal of the solvent. Later, we shortened the reaction time to 30 min by elevating the reaction temperature to 100 °C under a microwave-assisted heating condition. This protocol also removed p-methoxybenzyl (PMB) group (entry 2). Indeed, we found that the PMB ether is more susceptible to PPh<sub>3</sub>·HBr than the benzyl ether and the selective deprotection was achieved (entry 3). All the primary, secondary, and aryl alcohol-derived benzyl ethers were deprotected successfully (entries 4-11). Internal olefin and alkynyl groups remained intact under the reaction condition (entries 4 and 6) but partial hydrobromination was observed for the terminal olefin (entry 5). Although 1-admantanol (22) was produced from the ether 21 (entry 12), the tertiary alcohol-derived benzyl ether 23 gave the elimination product 24 (entry 13). Allyl and cinnamyl ethers, 25 and 27 gave only the corresponding phosphonium bromides 26 and 28, rather than the allyl and cinnamyl alcohols (entries 14 and 15). These results are consistent with the triphenylphosphine attaching to the ether substituents (R–O–Bn) with the more carbocationic character (Scheme 1). The formation of tertiary or allyl alcohols was not observed because the corresponding carbocations have better or parallel stability compared to the benzyl group.<sup>18,19</sup>

The anhydrous condition and stoichiometric amount of HBr offer some advantages that hydrobromic acid and gaseous HBr cannot provide. For example, debenzylations were achieved in the presence of the ester, amide, and 1,3-dioxolane functional groups (entries 16, 18–19). The oxidation/reduction sensitive functional groups, such as alkene, alkyne, and nitro are compatible with





<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +886 3 4279442; fax: +886 3 4227664. *E-mail address:* drhou@ncu.edu.tw (D.-R. Hou).

<sup>0040-4039/\$ -</sup> see front matter  $\odot$  2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2010.09.065

#### Table 1

Cleavage of benzylethers with PPh3·HBr

Entry	Reactant	Product	Condition <sup>a</sup>	Yield <sup>b</sup> (%)
1	MeO-(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> OBn 1a	MeO-(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> OH <b>2</b>	A B	77 54
2	MeO-(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> OPMB 1b	MeO-(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> OH <b>2</b>	A B	88 76
3	PMBOOOBn 3	HO OBn	А	63
4	H <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> C (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> OBn 5	H <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> C (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> OH 6	Α	88
5	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>8</sub> OBn <b>7</b>	(СН <sub>2)8</sub> ОН <b>8</b>	A B	45(26) <sup>c</sup> 63(8) <sup>c</sup>
6	<i>n</i> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OBn <b>9</b>	<i>n</i> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub> ——CH <sub>2</sub> OH <b>10</b>	А	62
7	OBn 11	——ОН 12	A	87
8		CH2)2CHCH3 14	A	93
9	OBn 15	OH 16	А	88
10	OBn 17	DH 18	A	94
11		—————————————————————————————————————	A	66
12	OBn 21	С он 22	А	83
13		C(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> 24	А	89
14 <sup>d</sup>	CH <sub>2</sub> OBn <i>n</i> -C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> <b>25</b>	CH₂PPh₃Br n-C₃H <sub>7</sub> 26	A	91
15 <sup>d</sup>	OBn 27	PPh <sub>3</sub> Br 28	A	93
16	H <sub>3</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> C 29	H <sub>3</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> C OH 30	A	97
17	0 <sub>2</sub> N- OBn 31	O <sub>2</sub> NOH 32	A	61
18	OBn H 33	BzHN OH 34	A B	67 84
19	OOBn 35	О ОН	A	57 <sup>e</sup>
20 <sup>d</sup>	BnHN OBn <b>37</b>	BnHN 38	A B	72 66

<sup>a</sup> Condition A: microwave heating, 100 °C for 30 min in a sealed tube; condition B: reflux for 12 h.<sup>15</sup>
 <sup>b</sup> Isolated yield.
 <sup>c</sup> 9-Bromodecanol.
 <sup>d</sup> 2.1 equiv of PPh<sub>3</sub>·HBr were used.
 <sup>e</sup> Basic, aqueous work-up was applied; Ref. 17.



Scheme 1. Proposed debenzylation mechanism by PPh<sub>3</sub>·HBr.

PPh<sub>3</sub>·HBr (entries 4, 6, and 17, respectively). Benzyl amine is unaffected during the removal of the benzyl ether (entry 20).

In summary, it was found that triphenylphosphine hydrobromide is a new and effective reagent for the deprotection of benzyl ethers. The studies of the reaction scope indicate that the formation of product is determined by the relative stability of the carbocationic intermediate.

### Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Science Council (NSC 98-2113-M-008-001-MY3), Taiwan, ROC. The authors are grateful to Ms. Ping-Yu Lin at the Institute of Chemistry, Academia Sinica and Valuable Instrument Center in National Central University for obtaining mass analysis.

#### Supplementary data

Supplementary data (experimental procedures including the syntheses, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra for all the new compounds) associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2010.09.065.

#### **References and notes**

- (a) Wuts, P. G. M.; Greene, T. W. In Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis, 4th edition; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 2007; p 102; (b) Weissman, S. A.; Zewge, D. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 7833; (c) Jarowicki, K.; Kocienski, P. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2001, 2109, and references cited therein.
- (a) Vincent, A.; Prunet, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 4075; (b) Kanie, O.; Grotenbreg, G.; Wong, C. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 4545; (c) Yoshino, T.; Nagata, Y.; Itoh, E.; Hashimoto, M.; Katoh, T.; Terashima, S. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 10239; (d) Horita, K.; Yoshioka, T.; Tanaka, T.; Oikawa, Y.; Yonemitsu, O. Tetrahedron 1986, 42, 3021; (e) Oikawa, Y.; Tanaka, T.; Horita, K.; Yonemitsu, O. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 5397.

- Reductive cleavage: (a) Alonso, E.; Ramón, D. J.; Yus, M. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 14355; (b) Liu, H.-J.; Yip, J.; Shia, K. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 2252; (c) Schön, I. Chem. Rev. 1984, 84, 287.
- Oxidative cleavage: (a) Ikemoto, N.; Schreiber, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 2524; (b) Baek, S.; Jo, H.; Kim, H.; Kim, S.; Kim, D. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 75.
- Other debenzylation: Hwu, J. R.; Wong, F.-F.; Huang, J.-J.; Tsay, S.-C. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 4097.
- (a) Yajima, H.; Fuji, N.; Ogawa, H.; Kawatani, H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1974, 107; (b) Fletcher, S.; Gunning, P. T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 4817.
- (a) Petchmanee, T.; Ploypradith, P.; Ruchirawat, S. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 2892;
   (b) Sharma, G. V. M.; Reddy, C. G.; Krishna, P. R. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 4574;
   (c) Jung, M. E.; Lyster, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 3761; (d) Guindon, Y.;
   Yoakim, C.; Morton, H. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 2969; (e) Williams, D. R.;
   Brown, D. L.; Benbow, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 1923.
- (a) Kim, J. D.; Han, G.; Zee, O. P.; Jung, Y. H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, 44, 733;
   (b) Rizzi, E.; Dallavalle, S.; Merlini, L.; Beretta, G. L.; Pratesib, G.; Zunino, F. *Bioorg, Med. Chem. Lett.* **2005**, *15*, 4313.
- (a) Bhatt, M. V.; Kulkarni, S. U. Synthesis 1983, 249; (b) Tiecco, M. Synthesis 1988, 749.
- (a) Evans, D. A.; Scheerer, J. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 6038; (b) Xiong, Z.; Gao, D. A.; Cogan, D. A.; Goldberg, D. R.; Hao, M.-H.; Moss, N.; Pack, E.; Pargellis, C.; Skow, D.; Trieselmann, T.; Werneburg, B.; White, A. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 1994; (c) Hajela, S. P.; Johnson, A. R.; Xu, J.; Sunderland, C. J.; Cohen, S. M.; Caulder, D. L.; Raymond, K. N. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 3208; (d) Kim, M.; Mao, Q.; Davidson, B. L.; Wiemer, D. F. J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46, 1603; (e) Leighton, P.; Sanders, J. K. M. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I 1987, 2385; (f) Messeri, T.; Pentassuglia, G.; Di Fabio, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 3227.
- Cohen, N.; Weber, G.; Banner, B. L.; Lopresti, R. J.; Schaer, B.; Focella, A.; Zenchoff, G. B.; Chiu, A.-M.; Todaro, L.; O'Donnel1, M.; Welton, A. F.; Brown, D.; Garippa, R.; Crowley, H.; Morgan, D. W. J. Med. Chem. **1989**, 32, 1842.
- Meier, M. S. In Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis; Paquette, L. A., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1995; Vol. 8, p 5392.
- 13. Hercouet, A.; Le Corre, M. Synthesis 1988, 157.
- 14. Bolitt, V.; Mioskowski, C.; Shin, D. S.; Falck, J. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 4583.
- 15. McCullough, K. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 2223.
- 16. Standard procedure for debenzylation, condition A: A solution of a benzyl ether (0.5 mmol), triphenylphosphine hydrobromide (0.55 mmol) in dry acetonitrile (0.5 mL) was placed in a vial (10 mL, for microwave reaction). The vial was capped and heated to 100 °C for 30 min in a microwave oven (CEM Discover). After cooling to room temperature, the suspension was diluted with EtOAc (10 mL), and filtered to remove the benzyltriphenylphosphonium bromide salt. The organic layer was concentrated under reduced pressure to give the desired product. The crude product could be further purified by flash column chromatography.

*Condition B:* A solution of an alkyl benzyl ether or aryl benzyl ether (0.5 mmol) in dry acetonitrile (1 mL) was added with triphenylphosphine hydrobromide (0.55 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 12 h. The work-up procedure was the same as the microwave-assisted condition.

- 17. The work-up procedure for compound 36: After cooling to rt, the reaction mixture, starting from 0.45 mmol of 35 was added with ammonium hydroxide (30%, 1 mL), and extracted with diethyl ether (10 mL × 2). The organic layers were combined, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was further purified by flash column chromatography (20%, EA/ hexanes) to give 36 (34 mg, 0.26 mmol, 57%).
- Smith, M. B.; March, J. In March's Advanced Organic Chemistry; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 2007; p 244.
- This deprotection is another example of 'push-pull' mechanism: (a) Fuji, K.; Ichikawa, K.; Node, M.; Fujita, E. J. Org. Chem. **1979**, 44, 1661; (b) Kiso, Y.; Ukawa, K.; Nakamura, S.; Ito, K.; Akita, T. Chem. Pharm. Bull. Jpn. **1980**, 28, 673; (c) Yajima, H.; Fujii, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1981**, 103, 5867; (d) Tam, J. P.; Heath, W. F.; Merrifield, R. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1986**, 108, 5242. A reviewer's comment is appreciated.